1

2

3 4

56

7 8

9

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

Joshua D. Wolson declares as follows:

- I am an attorney for the law firm of Covington & Burling, counsel for Microsoft Corp. in the above-captioned action. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge and facts acquired in the course of my duties.
- 2. On March 28, 2006, the Hon. Mark L. Wolf of the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts held a hearing on Novell's motion to quash a subpoena issued to it by Microsoft pursuant to an application under 28 U.S.C. § 1782 that is substantially similar to the application in this proceeding. Attached at Exhibit A is a copy of the "Excerpt Transcript" of that hearing, which includes the Massachusetts District Court's findings and analysis.
- 3. Attached at Exhibit B is a copy of a letter dated March 24, 2006, sent to Microsoft by the hearing officer of the European Commission ("Commission") who decides procedural matters in connection with the Commission's proceeding against Microsoft. In the letter, the hearing officer determined that Microsoft would be "provided with non-confidential versions" of documents provided by third parties such as Sun and Oracle to the Monitoring Trustee. A copy of this letter was provided to the Magistrate during the hearing held before her on March 27, 2006.
- 4. Attached at Exhibit C is a copy of a letter dated March 28, 2006, which was sent to Microsoft from the Commission, providing Microsoft with "documents exchanged between IBM, Oracle and Sun and the Trustee" Attached to that letter was a list of documents that the Commission withheld from its production, which reveals that the Commission did not withhold as confidential any documents evidencing communications with Oracle or Sun. Because Microsoft did not receive these documents in Europe until after the hearing before the Magistrate, Microsoft did not have an opportunity to place these documents in the record before the Magistrate. Among the documents provided are the following:
- a. Attached at Exhibit D is a copy of an e-mail provided to Microsoft by the Commission reflecting the scheduling details of a conference call between Sun Microsystems, Inc., its counsel, and the Monitoring Trustee appointed by the Commission to

1	monitor Microsoft's compliance with the Commission's decisions finding Microsoft to be in
2	violation of European competition law.
3	b. Attached at Exhibit E is a copy of an e-mail confirming that the
4	Monitoring Trustee would visit Sun's facilities in Burlington, Massachusetts in December 2005.
5	c. Attached at Exhibit F is a copy of an e-mail between the
6	Monitoring Trustee and counsel for Oracle seeking to arrange a meeting between the
7	Monitoring Trustee and "Ronald" - presumably Ronald Alepin, an advisor who had been
8	retained by Oracle.
9	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the
10	foregoing is true and correct. Dated April 3, 2006.
11	
12	Joshua D. Wolson
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20 27	
ا بــُ	

Case 5:06-mc-80038-JF Document 41 Filed 04/03/2006 Page 3 of 3

2

28